

SCORM White Paper call

Abstract

Author: Yannick Warnier <yannick.warnier@dokeos.com>

Acts as: Software Engineer for Dokeos SPRL, company acting as principal developer of the Dokeos open-source e-learning software.

This document is written as a broad recommendation, with deep knowledge of SCORM 1.2 but very uneven knowledge of SCORM 1.3/2004.

Problem definition

Many “trends” of the SCORM 2.0 standard would make implementation much more complicated.

Use case

An LMS developer wants to allow a content developer to provide his content as SCORM 2.0. However, the content developer has created his content as a “SCORM 2.0 – US Army trend” compliant content, using features only provided by this trend. This makes the standard SCORM implementation unable to support the developed content, thus defeating the portability of SCORM.

Stakeholders

LMS developers

Content creators

Proposed Solution

Avoid standard “profiles” that would enable multiple versions of SCORM to be supported at the same time. Instead, provide a flexible framework that allows everybody to support the same and unique standard.

Integration and other technical issues

Not available

Existing implementations/prototypes

Not available

Summary and recommendations

Avoid many trends of the standard, named "profiles" in the call for white papers. If developing a different profile, make sure it can be played by a common implementation of SCORM, otherwise what's the interest of naming it a "standard".